



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,508	01/26/2001	Susan G. Stuart	BEBIO-111 C1	8243	
7590 08/09/2005			EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			HOLLERAN, ANNE L		
Arlington Court Suite 1400	thouse Plaza I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2200 Clarendon Boulevard Arlington, VA 22201			1643		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	09/769,508	STUART ET AL	•
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne Holleran	1643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of 	failing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	·	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		, ,	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8) 		the statutory period	of three months
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37 (CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month p	eriod set in, the No	tice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans	smission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire in	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:	MANHALI	·	
Failure to file an Appeal Brief.	ALANA M. HARRIS, P PRIMARY EXAMINI 88 18 200	H.D. ER	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrawninimize any negative effects on patent term.	w the holding of abandonment under 37 C	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to
rmmmeo any nogativo eneola dii palent lenit.			

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/769,508	STUART ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	·
Anne Holleran	1643	

	Anne Holleran	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because:						
(a) 🔲 it was not timely filed.						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).						
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.						
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$						
(e) 🔲 the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.						
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on						
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:						
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).						
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insuf	fficient. The brief fee required by	37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$				
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).						
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED to	pecause:					
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.						
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.						
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on						
(d) other:						
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this ap	oplication:	·				
(a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no allow	ved claims.					
(b) is before the examiner for final dispositionon the merits remains CLOSED.	n because it contains allowed cla	ims. Prosecution				
(c) is before the examiner for consideration.	ALANA M. HARR DRIMARY EXA	is, PH.D. MINER 20715				